

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/737,175	12/13/2000	Theodore F. Rabenko	36900/CAG/B600	8414	
23363 7.	590 08/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			HARPER,	HARPER, KEVIN C	
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2666		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/737,175	RABENKO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin C. Harper	2666			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Property specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repict period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	March 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4,6-10 and 12-17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica Inity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage			
•		·				
Attachmen	, ,	.	(070 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	y (PTO-413) Date			
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Arguments

Page 2

Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1. Applicant argued that Kao does not disclose data control flags. However, the clock signal transmitted is used to control data reception at the receiver (col. 3, line 67 through col. 4, line 4).

2. Applicant argued that that Kao does not fractionally resample the data. However, in the present invention, resampling is considered to be decimation and interpolation (spec., page 50, lines 14-16). In Kao, the fractional resampling is performed by generally as decimation (col. 4, lines 7-10) and interpolation (col. 4, lines 15-20), where a certain percentage of samples are added or removed.

Drawings

Replacement drawings were received on March 21, 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175

Art Unit: 2666

Claims 1-3, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kao (US 4,815,109).

Page 3

- Regarding claim 1, Kao discloses a method of synchronizing data sampled by a first clock (fig. 2, item 14) to a second clock (item 54). The method comprises generating a clock error signal (SLIP signal from slip detector; col. 3, line 67 through col. 4, line 1) as a function of a data control flag (DERIVED CLKA; col. 3, lines 57-59) and fractionally resampling the data as a function of the clock error signal (col. 4, lines 1-4 and 7-20; col. 8, lines 1-3; fig. 5B, items 176 and 178; note: a percentage of samples are added or removed to provide fractional resampling of the signal; col. 5, lines 57-62).
- 4. Regarding claim 2, the data comprises voice (abstract, lines 1-6; col. 8, line 55).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, the data receive flag (fig. 4, CLKA) is periodically generated as a function of the first clock (col. 3, lines 57-58) and a data complete flag (fig. 4, CLK2) is periodically generated as a function of a second clock (col. 3, lines 59-64), where the clock error signal is generated as a function of the data received flag and data complete flag (col. 3, line 67 through col. 4, line 1).
- 6. Regarding claim 5, the data is sampled with a first clock and received data is partitioned into data packets (abstract, lines 1-6; col. 1, lines 37-41 and 42-45), where the data received flag is generated upon receipt of each of the data packets (col. 1, lines 42-45; col. 1, lines 20-37).
- 7. Regarding claim 11, Kao discloses a synchronization circuit (fig. 2, item 52; fig. 3) comprising an error generation unit (fig. 2, item 50) that generates a clock error signal (fig. 2, SLIP) as a function of an average far end sampling rate (col. 1, lines 23-25) and a near end sampling rate (col. 1, lines 49-53), and a sample tracker (fig. 2, item 52) for receiving sampled

data packets (col. 1, lines 25-30 and 42-45) and fractionally resampling the sampled data as a function of the clock error signal (col. 4, lines 1-4; col. 3, lines 64-66; col. 1, lines 49-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 18-29 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 4, 6-10 and 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175 Page 5

Art Unit: 2666

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

August 21, 2005

SEEMA S. RAO 8/23 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600